

## **CITY OF MEMPHIS**

## **REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL**

#52216

## PAVEMENT CONDITION SURVEY AND PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE

Addendum #\_\_1\_\_

## Questions & Answers

Except to remove vendor names and addresses, questions are provided exactly as submitted.

#		Section	Question / Answer
1	Q		Are you able to provide approximate mileage of arterial and collector
			roadways
1	Α		Approximately 2,200 Lane Miles/ 590 Centerline
2	Q	2.2	Will GIS support layers like planimetric data be provided?
2	Α		Yes
3	Q	2.2	Will EGIS provide us a copy of the feature classes being used? Will they
			provide schema for which fields need data pushes (i.e., there are around 3
			different ratings in the current SM GIS feature class - need to know what
			fields are being used in their apps)
3	Α		Yes
4	Q	2.2	Is there a specific reason for 25% of City surveyed each year?
4	Α		The City's budget for Pavement Management. However, the City may choose
			to do more than 25% a year, based on the awarded proposal cost. This is
			stated in the RFP.
5	Q	2.2	Is there a preference to which areas of the city should be surveyed
			first?
5	Α		This will be decided given the costs provided.
	<u> </u>		
6	Q	2.2	Do areas that will be deannexed need to be surveyed?
6	Α		All areas of the City that were scheduled for deannexation have been
			deannexed at this time and will not need to be surveyed.
	-		
7	Q	2.2	Will the survey include state maintained roads within the City of Memphis?
7	Α		No
8	Q	2.3	What does the city mean by "The software shall be able to incorporate data
			and any other ratings from other sources"? What other data sources are
			meant by this?
8	Α		Rating that we already have or may have in the future. Spreadsheets, GIS,
			other softwares, etc.

9	Q	2.3	How does the city want reports generated? On demand or on a yearly schedule?
9	Α		On demand
10	Q	2.3	If a section of street is resurfaced, will the City require a second inspection?
10	Α		No, this sections rating should then be changed to 100 and not be inspected until the next cycle.
4.4		0.0	
11	Q	2.3	Does the City anticipate adding projections for paving after the 5-year contract period?
11	Α		Possibly in the future.
12	Q		What is the estimated funding source, or budget for this project?
12	Α		Funding source is Public Works, Street Maintenance Operating Budget.
	,,		Budget is TBD by bids.
13	Q		Has funding been secured, or is that expected to take place at a later date?
13	Α		Will be based on operating budget.
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
14	Q		Is this a new requirement? Or is there an incumbent vendor providing these services? If so, what is the contract number, vendor name, and term of the contract?
14	Α		Not sure of the question for the requirement. This will be maintained by the City of Memphis Public Works, Street Maintenance Department.
15	Q		Is there a timeline for when this project must be started or awarded?
15	Α		No.
16	Q	2.4	The RFP calls for five year pricing in section 2.4. However, in section 2.2, the RFP calls for 25% of the City to be assessed each year for four years. What is priced in the 5th year?
16	Α		The beginning of the next cycle. 5 years is the length of the contract.
17	Q	2.3	Based upon responses to the recent RFI, has the City identified a software preference?
17	Α		No.
	_	0.0	
18	Q	2.3	Has the City identified any specific software features or uses that are critical? Some examples might be in the field data entry, or analysis
10	^		at the project level vs the segment level.
18	Α		Web based, able to provide budget, preventative maintenance, and funding source scenarios, works with GIS effectively.
4.0		0.0	
19	Q	2.3	Does the City currently use an enterprise asset management system?
19	Α		Oracle and GIS

20	Q	2.2	Can the City please provide the functional class breakdown, so that we can determine the required survey mileage. For example, we need to know centerline miles for Arterial and collectors requiring two passes and the
			remaining two-lane routes.
20	Α		There are approximately 2,200 Lane miles/590 Centerline miles of Arterial and Collector streets. The remaining will be local.
21	0	2.2	Can the City provide a CIS Shapefile of all City maintained reads?
21	Q	2.2	Can the City provide a GIS Shapefile of all City maintained roads?
21	Α		The shapefile will be provided to the vendor when the contract is awarded.
22	Q	2.2	Can the City provide a database with the existing pavement types currently on record and the mileage for each?
22	Α		The shapefile will be provided to the vendor when the contract is awarded.
23	Q	2.2	RFP states: Provide if pavement markings are on the street.  Can the City please confirm if this is to be a Yes/No evaluation?
23	Α		This will be a yes/no evaluation.
	,,		This will be a yesyllo evaluation.
24	Q	2.2	If pavement markings are identified, is the City intending to require the vendor to inventory and classify these markings? If the markings are to be classified, would the City provide a marking guide, or should the vendor provide the guide?
24	Α		No, this will just be a yes/no.
25	Q	2.2	RFP states: The survey will be completed for approximately one-quarter (25%) of the City a year, for a total of 4 years to complete the survey of the entire City. The City will provide the grids for the locations to be surveyed yearly.
25	Α		Yes.
26	Q	2.2	Will the City consider alternative pricing tables to allow more frequent higher functional class inventories? For example, many agencies collect their higher volume roads once every 2-3 years and the remainder of the network across a 4-5 year cycle.
26	Α		Please provide the pricing table in the RFP, but the City will also accept other pricing tables for review.

27	Q	2.3	How many licensed users of the Pavement Management Software will there
			be?
27	Α		3
28	Q	2.3	How many staff will be trained in the Pavement Management Training?
28	Α		No more than 10.

29	Q	2.4	Newer pavement management systems (PMS) include more powerful modeling of road condition, support better optimization of treatments, include more integration options with other City systems, and provide optional add-on modules that could bring substantial value in the long term, but they are more expensive. Would the City be open to proposals that include both a basic and an advanced PMS option at different price levels?
29	Α		Yes, vendors can supply both alternatives.
30	Q		Can the City provide a shapefile for collection analysis?
30	Α		The shapefile will be provided to the vendor when the contract is awarded.
31	Q		Can the City provide a percent or mileage breakdown for each pavement type?
31	Α		95% asphalt
32	Q		Can the City please define pavement markings? Does this include lane lines like centerline and fog line?
32	Α		Pavement marking include any marking needed for driving, i.e. Centerline marking
33	Q		What is the projected budget for this project?
33	Α		Not able to provide.
34	Q	2.2	What is the level of analysis required?
34	A		The survey should provide a pavement rating. The RFP explains what should be submitted on how the vendor intends to do an analysis to provide that rating.
35	Q	2.2	What amount of the Centerline miles require 1 pass verses the 2 pass?
35	Α		There are approximately 2,200 Lane miles/590 Centerline miles of Arterial and Collector streets. The remaining will be local.
36	Q	3.5	Can you clarify exactly what you require in this section: providing similar government work experience. What part of the contract?
36	Α		Provide any work experience pertaining to both Pavement Management Software and Pavement Condition Survey provided to any government entity.